

# Yet More Media Disinfo (Lies)...

A site dedicated to documenting and disproving certain falsehoods perpetuated by the media, especially those falsehoods relating to highly controversial topics, with careful reference to established facts and solid evidence.

Saturday, March 27, 2021

## Debunking the Ted Kaczynski "MK Ultra" myth

Let's first take a look at one of the most controversial figures of the last century: Theodore John Kaczynski (aka Ted Kaczynski, aka "The Unabomber").

There's a theory involving Ted Kaczynski that originated in the 2000s but has persisted to this day: The idea that as a young undergrad at Harvard in the late 1950s Kaczynski was somehow "traumatized" by a "brutal" psychological study conducted by psychologist Henry A. Murray, that the CIA may or may not have been involved (depending on which variation of the theory you come across) and that this was somehow a principle motivating factor behind Kaczynski's "mental illness" and later criminal activity. Yet it's all a complete farce. There's no hard evidence backing it up. The theory apparently originated from an irresponsible article that an academic-turned-journalist named Alston Chase wrote for *The Atlantic*[1] and later expanded on in a book, *Harvard and the Unabomber* (Norton, 2003)[2]. Both the article and the book are highly dishonest: They construct this theory *entirely on the basis of speculation*, while *presenting the speculation as fact*. [3] First, we have the transcript of the young Kaczynski's interview in the study (where, according to the conspiracy theory, the supposedly "traumatic" environment existed where he was "broken down" by an interrogator). [4].

[Ted Kaczynski Henry A. Murray psychology study transcripts.pdf](#)

As the transcripts clearly show, the difference between the myth and the reality is *astounding*. Quite apart from showing Kaczynski to have been harmed in any way, the interview clearly reveals the exact opposite: a calm, rational, and composed young Kaczynski carefully and dispassionately debates an interrogator, wins the argument, and makes his interrogator look (and probably feel) rather silly. Next, we have statements from people involved in the study responding to Chase's original piece in *The Atlantic* and denying it could have been anything like Chase characterizes. [link: <https://www.theatlantic.com/magazine/archive/2000/09/letters/378379/> ] Then we have Kaczynski's own statements on the experience himself, which are consistent with the transcripts of his interview during the study and the letters to *The Atlantic*: that the entire experience was trivial.

Search This Blog

- Home
- About

About Me

 Victor Vaughn

[View my complete profile](#)

Blog Archive

March 2021 (1)

Truth vs. Lies Ted Kaczynski Autobiography.pdf

TED KACZYNSKI

To [REDACTED]

November 25, 2017

Dear [REDACTED],

To continue from the enclosed card, I'm sending you herewith a letter postmarked 10/24/17 from an anonymous "Harvard alumna," and a letter dated 8/7/16 from one Jan Irvin. These are just two examples of the many letters I've received from people who believe that in the course of the psychological study at Harvard directed by Henry A. Murray and partly described in Kenneth Keniston's book The Uncommitted (see List of Works Cited for the new Tech Slavery), I was subjected to psychological "torture" as part of an "MK Ultra" mind-control experiment conducted by the CIA. But it's all bullshit. There was one and only one unpleasant experience in the Murray study; it lasted about half an hour and could not reasonably have been described as "torture." The Murray study consisted mostly of interviews and filling out paper-and-pencil personality tests. The CIA was not involved.

This legend ~~that the~~ to the effect that the Murray study was some sort of CIA mind-control experiment was started by an irresponsible article that Alston Chase published in The Atlantic around 1999-2001. Other people apparently latched onto Chase's speculations and embellished them on the Internet, and every time some blogger passed the story on he embellished it further, until this monstrous legend became so widespread, repeated by so many irresponsible individuals, that many people accepted it, and still accept it, as proven fact.

TED KACZYNSKI  
to  
ANDREW KACZYNSKI

February 2, 2018  
Groundhog Day

Dear Mr. Kaczynski,

Thank you for your undated letter postmarked 12/5/17, which I received on 12/21/17. I'm sorry I've taken so long to answer it, but I have too much work to do, and at the age of 75 I can't keep up with it all.

I'm writing mainly to call your attention to my book Anti-Tech Revolution: Why and How, which may be of interest to you. You can order a copy from [www.fitchmadison.com](http://www.fitchmadison.com) or [amazon.com](http://amazon.com).

But I'll also answer your question concerning the Discovery Channel series about my case. I haven't seen the series myself, but to judge from what people have written to me about it, it's mostly fiction. This does not surprise me in the least; media reports about me have generally been loaded with bull manure. In particular, reports about the Murray study have been wildly, wildly exaggerated. People write to tell me how sorry for me they feel because I was "tortured" again and again by the Murray group as part of an "MK Ultra" experiment allegedly carried out by the CIA. Actually, there was only one unpleasant experience in the Murray study; it lasted about half an hour and could not reasonably have been described as "traumatic." Mostly the study consisted of interviews and filling out pencil-and-paper personality tests. The CIA was not involved.

About 15 or 20 years ago a TV journalist named Chris Vlasto (if I remember the name correctly) looked up some of the other participants in the study and found that nothing had happened that was worth reporting in the media. My brief correspondence with Vlasto should be available in the University of Michigan's Special Collections library at Ann Arbor. On the inaccuracy of the Discovery series, see "Discovery Channel TV Series on Unabomber Disrespects the Investigation's Achievements," by ex-FBI agent Greg Stejskal,

<http://ticklethewire.com/about-us/greg-stejskal/>

Yours,  
Ted Kaczynski

Next we have a former FBI agent involved in Kaczynski's case, reinforcing the idea that this theory is unfounded and that the motivations behind Kaczynski's criminal activities were the result of the ideology outlined in his writings.  
[link: [https://www.deadlinedetroit.com/articles/19050/retired\\_fbi\\_agent\\_the\\_unabomber\\_and\\_i\\_agree\\_--](https://www.deadlinedetroit.com/articles/19050/retired_fbi_agent_the_unabomber_and_i_agree_--)]



\_tv\_series\_is\_inaccurate]

None of this information is hard to find. Even without the transcripts, any one of the other information presented here should be enough to cast enough doubt on the theory that it can no longer be reasonably or responsibly taken as fact. And yet, seemingly credible groups continue to parrot this conspiracy theory without any reference to the conflicting information, often *presenting it* as though it were incontrovertible fact. Foremost among them are the following:

- 1) *Psychology Today*. Loc. at: <https://www.psychologytoday.com/us/blog/impromptu-man/201205/harvards-experiment-the-unabomber-class-62> (the Murray study is described as an "experiment" that involved "torment" and "humiliation"); and at: <https://www.psychologytoday.com/us/blog/the-human-beast/201711/is-psychology-responsible-the-unabomber> (referring to "psychological abuse" in Murray's "lab" being...wait for it... "ethically indistinguishable from the gruesome biomedical experiments perpetrated against concentration camp inmates by Josef Mengele" [!?!]).
- 2) Discovery Channel's *Manhunt Unabomber*. Though the series is fictional, it *presents itself* as being based in fact. And in typical Hollywood style, it makes a great dramatic spectacle out of the rumored "torture."

It's easy to see why this conspiracy theory has had so much success:

First, it *seems plausible*. The media have done a remarkable job over the last 25 years of uniformly presenting Ted Kaczynski as a deranged sicko primarily motivated by personal derangement rather than any rational political or ideological goal.[5] There is virtually zero rational analysis of Kaczynski's writings by the mass media, who by and large prefer to focus exclusively on speculations of pathological motivation. One has to work very hard to uncover the serious discussions that are taking place over Kaczynski's political and philosophical ideas, but they are indeed happening. To your average person whose primary source of information is the internet or TV, and who has a conventional outlook on the world in large part shaped by these mass media sources, there's little possibility offered other than *pathological* reasons behind Kaczynski's violence. Anything else is inconceivable simply for lack of mental exposure.

Second, the theory is *psychologically convenient*. It functions in effect to distract attention from the far more disturbing possibility that Kaczynski's violence could have been *rational* and in the service of a *coherent ideology*. Any consideration of rational motives—even the slightest thought for a moment—has the potential to make painfully clear that the same facts and considerations that Kaczynski reasoned justified violence are shared by the individual. After all, they both inhabit the same objective reality, the same world of facts, and correspondingly the same observed problems. Deflecting attention onto a counterfeit cause of the violence thus serves to distract attention—to deny—disturbing problems in their own lives caused by the very forces Kaczynski observes.[6]

In addition, there are probably also the more or less typical reasons why conspiracy theories in general persist which are operative in this case in some combination to some degree:

- i) People *need* their conspiracy theories because they're simply bored: Their conspiracy theories are one of the few things that give them any excitement or intellectual stimulation in their otherwise dreary lives.
- ii) This particular theory is popular with anti-government, anti-authoritarian types who like to see complex machinations of the government and shadow institutions behind everything—it confirms their worldview that the shadow-elites are puppet mastering society. The CIA or the Bilderberg group or whatever are behind extraordinary and spectacular illegal activity by individuals or small groups.
- iii) It's simply too hard for the average person to believe that a single individual could be so spectacularly effective on the world-stage. Modern man is made to feel hopelessly dependent on our society. He can't really do anything of any *practical significance* by himself—it all must be done or mediated through organizations and institutions following rigid procedures and rules, and subject to systems and forces in which he has only the most minute influence over. In their minds someone as spectacularly effective as Kaczynski in defying our society must have organizational or institutional backing or impetus.
- iv) They're motivated by self-interest to perpetuate this myth in order to distract attention from the ideas behind the violence. Kaczynski's rationally presented ideology is a threat to their power.

\*\*\*

One objection to all the foregoing will be that I've wasted time and energy on a subject nobody cares about, or for which it doesn't matter if there are misconceptions or not. One typical response will be: "Who cares? The guy's a terrorist from many years ago, so what if there is some false information about the guy?" This is a very short-sighted and dangerous perspective. In any supposedly "free" society committed to the truth, it's *especially* important that the most controversial and dangerous topics, typically held by despised minorities, are deliberated accurately and honestly. If a society fails to properly address arguments because it despises who makes them or considers them too uncomfortable or too dangerous, it may well follow a course of action that leads to disaster. Given our world's precarious social and environmental situation, the process of open and honest evaluation of these minority opinions is more important than ever: the fate of our planet and our lives could very well be at stake. I believe Ted Kaczynski has many of these kinds of dangerous and uncomfortable arguments—dangerous and uncomfortable because they are so cogently presented, and they strike at the core of modern, technology-focused world's most basic values. By suggesting that Kaczynski's writings and actions have more to do with personal pathologies than with objective reasoning—in this case "trauma" or "torture"—the mass media serve to distract attention from the far more important aspects of Kaczynski's ideas that may have serious implications for our future.

It's unlikely that any of the foregoing will convince the true-believing conspiracy theorist. All the evidence I've presented will somehow be explained away or integrated into their theory, no matter how fantastic the logical leaps or absurd the reasoning. They may even challenge the legitimacy of the documents presented here today. I don't suppose any amount of reasoning or evidence can persuade these people, and I'd be wasting my time trying: most of these people are deeply committed—emotionally and psychologically invested—in their theory. This article, and this website more broadly, are instead directed toward thoughtful, disciplined readers who have a degree of training and experience in objective analysis and rational due diligence: people capable of carefully weighing the available evidence when framing their conclusions. If this article hasn't convinced these kinds of readers that this particular theory relating to Kaczynski is complete nonsense, then at the very least it should make clear that the theory has little to no basis in fact and has been wildly and irresponsibly misrepresented.

\*\*\*

**Note:** For a more detailed description of how and why the media distort facts--and outright lie--through often subtle and sophisticated means, I recommend you read Ted Kaczynski's unpublished autobiography *Truth vs. Lies* which is available for download from this website. Chapter 16 therein (pages 426-442) deals specifically with the media, but the entire book should be read carefully if one wants a much broader understanding of, and better context for, the complete misrepresentation of Ted Kaczynski both in the media and in popular culture at large.

[Truth vs. Lies Ted Kaczynski Autobiography.pdf](#)

[1] Chase, Alston, "Harvard and the Making of the Unabomber," *The Atlantic*, June 2000. Available online at: <https://www.theatlantic.com/magazine/archive/2000/06/harvard-and-the-making-of-the-unabomber/378239/>

[2] Chase, Alston, *Harvard and the Unabomber*, New York: W.W. Norton & Company, Inc., 2003, later published with the title, *A Mind for Murder*.

[3] Chase provides no proof that the CIA was involved. The argument for Kaczynski being "traumatized" rests solely on extremely weak circumstance and wild speculation: In his book, he records a history of CIA involvement in funding psychological research (pp. 251-280), and suggests that the CIA *may* have been involved in that particular Harvard study, but provides no reason or evidence other than this background information. At only one point does Chase attempt to ground his theory in fact, but he fails: He misinterprets and takes out of context the study's own analysis of Kaczynski's interview, conflating actual "trauma" with "intensity of criticism" (p. 291); the transcript of the interview corroborates this error. At the same time he repeatedly makes unequivocal pronouncements of speculation *as if they were fact*, e.g.: "...the Murray experiment was bound to affect him badly. And it did." (p. 291), "...as it turns out, the answer is yes." (p. 227). No "*maybe*," no "*perhaps*," no I think it *likely or possible*. Furthermore, Chase's choice of language throughout is wildly presumptuous and inconsistent with established facts, and it paints a sensationally dark picture (e.g., in his article, the Murray study is an "experiment" which was "brutalizing").



[4] The transcript is included among the "Kaczynski Papers" special collection, in the Joseph A. Labadie Collection of the University of Michigan. They were apparently deposited into the collection by either Kaczynski or his lawyers. Copies are available on request from the special collection here: <https://www.lib.umich.edu/labadie-collection>.

[5] I may be discussing this in a future article.


[6] "Like individuals, societies often ignore their own most troublesome traits. Usually these remain unfathomed precisely because they are taken for granted, because life would be inconceivable without them. And most often they are taken for granted because their recognition would be *painful* to those concerned or disruptive to the society." [emphasis added]

—Kenneth Keniston, *The Uncommitted*, New York: Harcourt, Brace & World, Inc., 1965, p. 209.

at March 27, 2021




5 comments:

- 

Unknown

May 1, 2021 at 7:46 PM

content of awesome quality!

Reply
- 

TF

February 20, 2022 at 11:02 AM

Looking forward to more quality content. Thank you for taking the time. Subscribed via RSS and I hope you publish more articles soon.

Reply



**aariesquesenberry** March 4, 2022 at 1:28 AM

Welcome to Jtm Hub | Login to My Account - JtmHub  
Login 이천 출장마사지 to Jtm Hub Login to My Account · Login to Jtm Hub 사천 출장안마 Login to 제주도 출장마사지 My Account · 양산 출장안마 Login 제주 출장안마 to Jtm Hub Login to My Account · Login to Jtm Hub Login to

Reply



**kkkv32** March 18, 2022 at 6:54 PM

safe," it continued. The embassy added that it is working closely with Russian authorities to see if those 바카라프로그램다운 바카라오토프로그램 바카라에측프로그램 바카라헬퍼프로그램

Reply



**Unknown** April 9, 2022 at 12:39 PM

Casino de L'Auberge de Casino de LA. de la Casino de L'Auberge de Casino de L'Auberge  
Casino <https://septcasino.com/review/merit-casino/> de L'Auberge de Casino <https://vannienailor4166blog.blogspot.com/> de L'Auberge de Casino de 바카라 사이트 L'Auberge de Casino de L'Auberge de Casino de L'Auberge de Casino de L'Auberge de Casino de Casino de L'Auberge de Casino febcasino.com de

Reply

To leave a comment, click the button below to sign in with Google.

SIGN IN WITH GOOGLE

Home

Subscribe to: Post Comments (Atom)

Debunking the Ted Kaczynski "MK Ultra" myth

Let's first take a look at one of the most controversial figures of the last century: Theodore John Kaczynski (aka Ted Kaczynski, aka "The ...

TED KACZYNSKI  
To: [REDACTED]  
November 25, 2017

Dear [REDACTED],

To continue from the enclosed card, I'm sending you herewith a letter postmarked 10/24/17 from an anonymous "Harvard alumna," and a letter dated 8/7/16 from one Jan Irvin. These are just two examples of the many letters I've received from people who believe that in the course of the psychological study at Harvard directed by Henry A. Murray and partly described in Kenneth Keniston's book The Uncommitted (see List of Works Cited for the new Tech Slavery), I was subjected to psychological "torture" as part of an "MK Ultra" mind-control experiment conducted by the CIA. But it's all bullshit. There was one and only one unpleasant experience in the Murray study; it lasted about half an hour and could not reasonably have been described as "torture." The Murray study consisted mostly of interviews and filling out paper-and-pencil personality tests. The CIA was not involved.

This legend ~~that the~~ to the effect that the Murray study was some sort of CIA mind-control experiment was started by an irresponsible article that Alston Chase published in The Atlantic around 1999-2001. Other people apparently latched onto Chase's speculations and embellished them on the Internet, and every time some blogger passed the story on he embellished it further, until this monstrous legend became so widespread, repeated by so many irresponsible individuals, that many people accepted it, and still accept it, as proven fact.



Debunking the Ted Kaczynski "MK Ultra" myth  
Let's first take a look at one of the most controversial figures of the last century: Theodore John Kaczynski (aka Ted Kaczynski, aka "The ...